

**ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
PLOT NO.-4, CHUNUKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR
BHUBANESWAR - 751 021**

**Present: Shri U. N. Behera, Chairperson
 Shri S. K. Parhi, Member
 Shri G. Mohapatra, Member**

Case No. 37/2020

M/s. JR Flyash Bricks	Petitioner
Vrs		
The Manager (Elect.) SED, Cuttack, TPCODL	Respondent

In the matter of: Application under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for Non-Compliance of the order dated 18.02.2020 of the GRF, Cuttack passed in Case No. 11/2020.

For Petitioner: Shri Lalit K. Maharana, Advocate

For Respondent: The Manager (Elect.), SED, Salipur.

ORDER

Date of hearing: 08.09.2020

Date of order: 15.09.2020

M/s. JR Flash Bricks, Cuttack has filed the above case under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of order dated 18.02.2020 of the GRF, Cuttack passed in C.C Case No.11 of 2020 impleading the Manager (Elect.), SED, Salipur, TPCODL, Cuttack. The GRF, Cuttack while disposing of the above CC case has observed that as there is no technical feasibility for extending power supply from existing 250 KVA (33/0.4 KV) transformer, hence the Respondent has the right stand to reject the prayer of the petitioner. The petitioner has the opportunity for getting power supply from the alternative proposal given by the OP as per the observation made in the said order. The petitioner is advised to make a fresh application for power supply with payment of requisite fees and submission of requisite documents as per the Clause 26 to 30 of the OERC Distribution Code, 2019 to meet the need of power supply for his proposed flyash bricks unit. The OP is directed to take immediate action in response to the new application of the petitioner in accordance with the provision as per Clause 26 to 30 of the above code. As the above order of the GRF has not been complied by the respondent the Petitioner has filed the above case for compliance of the order of the GRF, Cuttack.

2. The respondent in its reply has submitted that the petitioner had applied for 22 KW load at LT side for her bricks factory on 17.08.2019. Presently, M/s. Sri Jagannath Cold Storage at Ramakrishnapur is getting power supply from the 250 KVA (33/0.4 KV) substation at Nischintakoili. The said consumer is getting power supply through HT metering at 33 KV side. To extend the power supply from the above substation to the petitioner's unit is not technically feasible. The 11 KV Ramakrishnapur feeder is only 200 meter away from the petitioner's unit and power supply can be extended from this 11 KV Ramakrishnapur feeder to the unit of the petitioner after getting willingness and all the required fees from her and observing departmental formalities. After scrutiny of the remunerative calculations TPCODL found that extension of power supply to the petitioner unit is non-remunerative. The only way for extension of power supply to the petitioner unit is by construction of its own substation by the petitioner. The petitioner was informed to build her own 63 KVA 11/0.4 KV substation under deposit work scheme.
3. Due to the prevalence of pandemic COVID-19, the matter is taken up for hearing through video conferencing where the counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the above case.
4. Prayer of the petitioner for withdrawal of the case is allowed as the respondent has no objection.
5. The case is dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(G. Mohapatra)
Member

Sd/-

(S. K. Parhi)
Member

Sd/-

(U. N. Behera)
Chairperson