ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN PLOT NO.-4, CHUNUKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR BHUBANESWAR - 751 021

Present: Shri U. N. Behera, Chairperson

Shri S. K. Parhi, Member Shri G.Mohapatra, Member

Case No. 24/2020

Mrs. Padmabati Goenka Petitioner

Vrs.

The E.E.(Elect.), CED, Jobra, Cuttack Respondent

In the matter of: Application under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-

implementation of Order dated 29.01.2020 of the Ombudsman-I

passed in C.R.Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019.

For Petitioner: Shri R.K.Behera, the authorised representative.

For Respondent: Executive Engineer (Elect.), CED, Jobra, Cuttack.

ORDER

Date of hearing: 14.07.2020 Date of order:14.07.2020

Mrs. Padmabati Goenka, Manguli, Cuttack has filed the above case under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of order dated 29.01.2020 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019 impleading the Executive Engineer(Elect.), CED, Jobra, CESU, Cuttack. The Ombudsman-I while disposing of C.R. Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019 has observed that the Opposite party- Respondent herein has revised the electricity bills of the petitioner arising out of C.R. Case No.896/2019 and credited an amount of Rs.76,591/- including withdrawal of DPS amount of Rs.500/- which was accepted by the petitioner. The opposite party- respondent was further directed to revise the average bills of the petitioner from August,2019 onwards as per three months' actual average meter readings of the consumer-petitioner as per their submission.

Further, the opposite party-respondent herein was directed to withdraw the transformer loss units from the LT billing of the consumer-petitioner with effect from April, 2019 onwards arising out of C.R. Case No.895/2019 and as per interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No 12419 of 2018 until the final order is passed by the Hon'ble Court. The Opposite party was also advised not to disconnect the power supply of a genuine consumer without proper verification of energy bills raised against her. When the

above order of Ombudsman-I was not complied, the petitioner has approached this Commission.

- 2. The Commission decided to hear the matter on 14.07.2020 though Video Conferencing in the Virtual Court and accordingly notice dated 07.07.2020 was issued to the parties concerned. Respondent has submitted through video conferencing that the orders dated 29.01.2020 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019 have been complied by them.
- 3. During virtual hearing, the Authorized representative of the petitioner has also admitted the above fact that the said order dated 29.01.2020 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019 has been complied by the respondent.
- 4. As the order dated 29.01.2020 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case Nos.87 & 88 of 2019 has been complied by the respondent there is no need to proceed further in the above matter.
- 5. With the above observation the case is disposed of.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/
(G.Mohapatra) (S. K. Parhi) (U. N. Behera)

Member Member Chairperson