## ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN PLOT NO.-4, CHUNUKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR BHUBANESWAR - 751 021

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Shri U. N. Behera, Chairperson

Shri S. K. Parhi, Member Shri G.Mohapatra, Member

Case No. 16/2020

Shri Ashok Kumar Behera ...... Petitioner

Vrs.

The E.E (Elect.), CDD-II, Cuttack and another .......... Respondents

In the matter of: Application as per order dated 14.02.2020 of the Hon'ble High

Court of Orissa passed in W.P.(C) No.3719 of 2020 regarding compliance of the order of the GRF CESU Cuttack passed in

C.C.Case No. 383/2019.

**For Petitioner:** Shri R. K. Behera, the authorised representative.

**For Respondent:** ShriManoj Kumar Patra, DMC, TPCODL, CDD-II, Cuttack.

## **ORDER**

Date of hearing: 25.08.2020 Date of order:25.08.2020

Sri Ashok Kumar Behera, S/o.SriJogendraBehera,Sikharpur, Nayabazar, Cuttack filed a consumer complaint before the GRF, Cuttack for revision of electricity bills for the period for disconnection of power supply from 10/1993 to 11/2013 to his premises. The GRF, Cuttack had disposed of the said Consumer Complaint in Case No.383 of 2019 with a direction to TPCODL authorities to revise the bills of the petitioner from 03/2005 to 11/2013 omitting the disconnected power supply period from 10/1993 to 02/2005 as per disconnection report submitted by J.E. As per the order of the GRF, the TPCODL has revised the bills of the petitioner from 03/2005 to 11/2013 and omitted the period from 10/1993 to 02/2005. The disconnection notice of power supply was issued to the petitioner as he has not made payment of the revised

bill. Being aggrieved by the said order of the GRF and the Disconnection Notice issued by TPCODL, the petitioner moved to the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.3719 of 2020 with the prayer to set-aside the disconnection notice issued by TPCODL along with the said order of the GRF, Cuttack passed in Consumer Complaint Case No.383 of 2019. The Hon'ble Court has disposed of the above writ petition vide their order dated 14 02.2020 which is as follows:-

## "Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the limited grievance involved herein, this writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to Opp.No.2(OERC) to accept the appeal memo and take decision thereon, as appropriate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the appeal memo along with certified copy of the order by the petitioner.

## Issue urgent certified copy of this order on proper application."

- 2. Due to Covid pandemic situation the Commission had decided to hear the matter through Video Conferencing in the Virtual Court on 25.08.2020. Accordingly the parties had been intimated.
- 3. The authorized representative of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a consumer complaint before the GRF, Cuttack for revision of electricity bills. Being aggrieved by the order of GRF, the Petitioner had approached Hon'ble High Court of Orissa through WP (C) No. 3719/2020 with the prayer to set-aside the disconnection notice issued by TPCODL along with the said order of the GRF, Cuttack passed in Consumer Complaint Case No. 383 of 2019. As per direction of the Hon'ble Court in the above writ petition vide their order dated 14 02.2020 the above application has been filed by the petitioner on 20.03.2020.
- 4. The representative of the respondent submits that as per the order of the GRF-Cuttack in C.C. Case No. 383/2019, the respondent has revised the bill from March, 2005 to November, 2013 and credited an amount of Rs.41,023/- in the bill of the consumer in the month of September, 2015. An amount of Rs. 42,589/- has also been withdrawn from the account of the consumer in the month June, 2019. The revision of bill for the period October, 1993 to February, 2005 was not done as the same has not been allowed by the learned GRF-Cuttack as there is no record or data available for the said period.

- 5. The authorized representative of the petitioner submits that during pendency of the present case before the Commission, the respondent has complied the order of the GRF-Cuttack passed in C.C. Case No. 383/2019 and accordingly the bills have been revised. Therefore, he prays the Commission for withdrawal of the present case.
- 6. Prayer of the petitioner for withdrawal of the case is allowed as the respondent has complied the order of the GRF-Cuttack passed in C.C. Case No. 383/2019.
- 7. The case is closed as withdrawn by the petitioner.

Sd/ Sd/ Sd/

(G. Mohapatra)(S. K. Parhi)(U. N. Behera)MemberMemberChairperson