

ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
PLOT NO.-4, CHUNUKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR
BHUBANESWAR - 751 021

Present: Shri U. N. Behera, Chairperson
Shri S. K. Parhi, Member

Case No. 25/2019

Sri Subash Chandra Achrya,	Petitioner
Vrs.		
The SDO (Elect.), JED, Jagatsinghpur & another	Respondents

In the matter of: Application under S. 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of order dated 31.01.2019 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.01/2019.

For Petitioner: Shri Subash Chandra Acharya

For Respondent: Shri Babuli Behera, SDO (Elect.), CESU, Jagatsinghpur.
Nobody is present on behalf of M/s ENZEN Global Solution Ltd.

ORDER

Date of hearing: 24.09.2019

Date of order: 29.10.2019

Sri Subash Chandra Achrya, has filed the above case under S. 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of order dated 31.01.2019 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.01/2019 wherein the Ombudsman-I had directed the respondents to revise the meter rent of the old meter Sl.No.1762800, charging the meter rent up to March, 2004 and refund the excess amount already collected. The respondents had also been directed to revise the MMFC and serve the future bills as per above observation and as per order of the GRF.

2. In their reply the respondents stated that they had revised the meter rent up to March, 2004 and refunded the excess amount of Rs.765/- which would be served in the bill for the coming month. Regarding revision of MMFC bills as per the order of the GRF from 01/2018 to 06/2019 an amount of Rs.360/- has already been credited to the account of the petitioner and the same will be reflected in the next month billing.
3. The respondent also submitted that the order of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No. 01/2019 had already been complied during the month of May, 2019 and the details of the revision of the bill was intimated to the petitioner vide office letter no. 286, dated

26.06.2019. After revision of the bill, Rs. 1125/- was to be credited to the account of the petitioner. Out of this, Rs. 1045/- was credited in the month of May, 2019 to the account of the petitioner and the differential amount of Rs. 80/- along with Rs. 40/- for the month of July & August, 2019 in total Rs. 120/- would be credited during the upcoming billing cycle i.e. in the month of September, 2019.

4. On 17.9.2019 during hearing the respondent was directed to revise the bill of the petitioner as per order of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No. 01/2019 and submit the compliance report before the Commission by 20.09.2019 serving a copy of the same on the petitioner. As per the direction of the Commission, the respondent has revised the bill of the petitioner as per the order of the Ombudsman-I and reduced the contract demand from 1.5 KW to 1 KW basing on the consumption of the petitioner though the petitioner has not applied for reduction of his contract demand. In fact, the petitioner opposed such reduction during hearing.
5. Heard the parties at length. The order dated 31.01.2019 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.01/2019 has been complied by the respondents and the bills of the petitioner has been revised accordingly. The reduction of contract demand by the respondent is not as per the order of the Ombudsman-I, and since the petitioner is resenting such reduction in the contract demand, the respondents are directed to restore the contract demand to 1.5KW.
6. With the above observation the case is disposed of.

Sd/-
(S. K. Parhi)
Member

Sd/-
(U. N. Behera)
Chairperson