
2. The representative of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a consumer of CESU under C.D.D-II, Cuttack having C.D. of 55KW since 2010 with 100KVA, 11/0.4KV S/S installed after depositing 6% supervision charges with the respondent. The petitioner had filed C.C. Case No.722 of 2017 before the GRF, CESU for installation of HT meter instead of LT meter for billing purpose, revision of bills against meter rent and refund of the excess rent collected from him after deducting the landing cost of the meter. The learned GRF, Cuttack after hearing the parties had directed the respondent to install HT Meter in the premises of the petitioner within 60 days from the date of order and to bill under LT tariff without transformer loss and revise the past bills under LT tariff from the initial power supply

to date. As the above order dated 20.07.2017 has not been complied by the respondent herein, the petitioner moved to the Ombudsman-I in C.R.Case No.176 of 2017 wherein the learned Ombudsman-I has disposed of the case with a direction to the respondent to bill the consumer-petitioner in LT tariff without including transformer loss henceforth until installation of HT metering system. As the above order dated 19.12.2017 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R.Case No.176 of 2017 is not complied by the respondent the petitioner filed the above petition.

3. Shri Satyabrata Mohanty, Manager(Elect.), CDD-II, CESU, Cuttack- the Respondent herein has submitted that CESU has challenged the said impugned order dated 19.12.2017 of Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.176 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.6697 of 2018 and the Hon'ble Court vide their order dated 02.05.2018 in Misc. Case No. 5688 of 2018 has been pleased to grant stay of operation of the above order of the Ombudsman-I till next date and thereafter, the above writ petition has not been listed for further proceeding. Now the matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble Court for adjudication. Therefore, he prayed to drop this proceeding under S.142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 as the outcome of the above writ petition would be applicable to the parties herein.
4. After hearing the parties and going through the case records, we opine that there is no need to proceed further in the matter as the order of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.176 of 2017 has been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.6697 of 2018 and the outcome of the said writ petition will be applicable to both the parties herein.
5. With the above observation the case is disposed of.

Sd/-

(S.K.Parhi)
Member

Sd/-

(A. K. Das)
Member

Sd/-

(U. N. Behera)
Chairperson