

**ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN  
PLOT NO.-4, CHUNAKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR  
BHUBANESWAR - 751 021**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Present:      Shri U. N. Behera, Chairperson  
                  Shri A. K. Das, Member  
                  Shri S. K. Parhi, Member**

**Case No. 07/2018**

Sri Parameswar Das	.....	Petitioner
Vrs.		
The E.E (Elect.), BED, Baripada, NESCO Utility	.....	Respondent

**In the matter of:**      **An application under S.142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of Order dated 24.06.2017 of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R.Case No. OM (II) N-10 of 2017.**

**For Petitioner:**      Falguni Rajguru Mohapatra the authorised representative.

**For Respondent:**      S S Roy, Executive Engineer, BED, Baripada, NESCO Utility

**ORDER**

**Date of hearing: 19.06.2018**

**Date of order:28.06.2018**

The present case arises out of non-implementation of Ombudsman-II order in C. R. Case No. OMB (II) N-10 of 2017. The Respondent has submitted that the Petitioner had submitted his application for availing 56 KW of power supply to his newly constructed market complex. The respondent vide its letter dated 30.05.2017 had requested the Petitioner to deposit 6% supervision charges and to carry out the capital work under consumer deposit scheme. When it was not effected in time the Petitioner in due course had approached Ombudsman-II. The Ombudsman directed the Petitioner to comply with the letter of the Respondent within 45 days.

2. After the work was executed by the Petitioner it was inspected by the Electrical Inspector who allowed the charging of the installation subject to removal of some defects. The Petitioner has not also deposited the security amount and service connection fee till date. The Respondent is ready to provide power supply subject to compliance of the findings of the Electrical Inspector and deposit of the fees.

3. The Petitioner submitted that in the meantime they have rectified the defects pointed out by the Electrical Inspector and have also deposited the requisite fees. The transformer of the Petitioner has already been charged. Therefore, there is no more dispute between the parties.
4. Due to the above submission of the Petitioner the proceeding under Section 142 is dropped.
5. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(S.K.Parhi)**  
**Member**

Sd/-  
**(A. K. Das)**  
**Member**

Sd/-  
**(U. N. Behera)**  
**Chairperson**