ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
PLOT NO.-4, CHUNAKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR
BHUBANESWAR - 751 021
****

Present: Shri U.N. Behera, Chairperson
Shri A. K. Das, Member
Shri S. K. Parhi, Member

Case No. 57/2016

Sri Subash Chandra Sahoo …… Petitioner
Vrs.
E.E (Elect.), DED, Dhenkanal …..... Respondent

In the matter of: An application under S.142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of Order dated 13.05.2016 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R. Case No.OM (I)-38 of 2016.

For Petitioner : Nobody is present.

For Respondent: Swapnasarit Mishra, E.E (Elect.).DED, Dhenkanal.

Order

Date of hearing: 30.05.2017 **Date of order:03.06.2017**

On repeated calls the Petitioner is absent. Shri Swapnasarit Mishra, E.E (Elect.), CESU, DED, Dhenkanal, the Respondent, in this case submitted that Shri Subash Chandra Sahoo had filed the above noted petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of the order dated 13.05.2016 of the Ombudsman-I passed in C.R.Case No. Omb (I)-38 of 2016 which had arisen out of the order dated 03.03.2012 of the learned GRF, Dhenkanal, CESU in C.C. Case No. 11/2012. The learned Ombudsman-I while disposing of the above C.R. Case had upheld the order of the GRF, Dhenkanal passed in C.C. Case No. 11/2012 and had directed the manner in which the bill of the disputed period should be prepared.

2. The Respondent CESU further submitted that the above order of the Ombudsman-I has been complied by the respondent. The respondent has installed new meter in the premises of the petitioner and also revised the electricity bills as per order of the Ombudsman-I and power supply to the unit of the petitioner has been restored on 10.11.2016.

3. Though neither the petitioner nor his authorized representative is present during the hearing, but he has submitted a written submission stating compliance of the directions of the Ombudsman-I. He has categorically stated in the written submission that the order of the Ombudsman has been implemented by the Respondent, the Petitioner has no further grievance in this matter. Therefore, the case may be dropped.

4. Accordingly, there is no need to keep the matter pending with us as the petitioner is satisfied with the compliance of the order of the Ombudsman-I.

5. With the above observation, the case is disposed of.

Sd/-	**Sd/-**	**Sd/-**
(S. K. Parhi)	**(A.K.Das)**	**(U.N.Behera)**
Member	**Member**	**Chairperson**