## ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN PLOT NO.-4, CHUNAKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR BHUBANESWAR - 751 021

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Shri A. K. Das, Member/ Chairperson Shri S. K. Parhi, Member

Case No. 36/2016

Smt. Laxmipriya Mohanty ...... Petitioner

Vrs.

E.E. (Electrical), JED, NESCO Utility & Others ........... Respondents

In the matter of: An application under S.142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-

compliance of Order dated 08.04.2015 of the Ombudsman-II

passed in C.R. Case No. Omb(II)-07 of 2015.

For Petitioner: No body present.

For Respondent: Biranchi Narayan Dalai, SDO (Elect.), Dasarathpur.

**ORDER** 

Date of hearing: 06.12.2016 Date of order:07.12.2016

Smt. Laxmipriya Mohanty is a domestic consumer bearing consumer No. 26821D/6122/2304/0133) of NESCO Utility under Ahiyas Electrical Section of Dasarathpur has filed the above noted case u/S. 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 8.4.2015 of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R. Case No. Omb (II)-07 of 2015. The Ombudsman-II while disposing of the above C.R. Case No. Omb (II)-07 of 2015 has directed as follows:-

"The petitioner is directed to appraise the calculation mistakes to the concerned SDO in his office for rectification. The respondent is directed to rectify the mistakes as pointed out by the petitioner after due verification. The respondent is further directed for waival of DPS amount claimed in the bill after verification of records."

- 2. The case is taken up today for hearing on question of admission as well as on merit.
- 3. During hearing, Shri Biranchi Narayan Dalai, SDO (Elect.), Dasarathpur, NESCO Utility has submitted that the respondent has revised the bills after due verification as per order of the Ombusman-II in C.R. Case No. Omb (II) N-07/2015 and the disputed amount of the petitioner has been withdrawn during April, 2015 and the same has been reflected in the bill served on him during May, 2015.
- 4. After hearing the respondent and as on repeated calls neither the petitioner nor her representative is present during hearing, we dismiss the case for default of non-appearance.
- 5. The case is dismissed for default of non-appearance of the petitioner.

Sd/- Sd/-

(S. K. Parhi) (A.K.Das)
Member Member/Chairperson