ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
UNIT-VIII, BHUBANESWAR - 751 012
****

Present : Shri S. P.Nanda, Chairperson
Shri S. P. Swain, Member
Shri A. K. Das, Member

Case No. 35/2015

M/s. Bimala Rice Mill …… Petitioner
Vrs.
E.E.(Elect.), HED, Hinjilicut, SOUTHCO Utility & another …..... Respondents

In the matter of: **An application under S.142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of Order dated 03.03.2015 of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R.Case No.S-08 of 2014.**

For Petitioner: Shri A. K. Sahani, the authorized representative.

For Respondent: Shri M. K. Mahapatra, Advocate,
Shri S. K. Panda, E.E.(Elect.), HED, Hinjilicut, SOUTHCO Utility.

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 04.12.2015 **Date of Order:08.12.2015**

The Representative appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has filed the above case u/S. 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-implementation of Order dated 03.03.2015 of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R.Case No.S-08 of 2014 which has been upheld by the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa in W.P.(C) No.13699 of 2015. The representative of the petitioner also prayed for adjournment of the hearing for one month as the respondent has already taken steps for implementation of the above order of the Ombudsman-II after the writ petition was disposed of in favour of the petitioner. The respondent has already installed the LT meter as per the order of the Ombudsman-II and after taking three months average reading the bills would be revised.

2. The Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has stated that the delay in implementation of the Order of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R.Case No.S-08 of 2014 has been caused due to pendency of writ application filed by the respondent before the Hon'ble High Court of Orissa. Since the Hon'ble Court has already upheld the said order of the Ombudsman-II, the respondent is taking steps for implementation of the order. Therefore, there is no need for adjournment of the matter for further hearing.

3. Heard the parties at length. Basing on the submissions made by the parties during hearing and since the respondent has already taken steps for implementation of the Order of the Ombudsman-II by installing LT meter at consumer end, there is no need to keep the matter pending with us.

4. Accordingly the case is dropped.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/-

(A .K. Das) **(S. P. Swain)** **(S. P. Nanda)**
Member **Member** **Chairperson**