
In the present case the petitioner M/s. Jindal Stainless Ltd. has submitted that the Commission vide its interim Order dated 12.01.2015 and final Order dated 12.05.2015 passed in Case No. 30/2013 had given directions to the respondent GRIDCO Limited for full and final reconciliation of outstanding dues on transaction of surplus power from the CGPs. But GRIDCO Ltd. has neither reconciled nor taking any effective steps to clear the outstanding dues payable to the CGPs against sale of surplus power. The major issues with regard to the unpaid amount against supply of surplus power of CGPs are

- i. Non-payment at firm power rate in case of injection of power between 100 to 105% of the implemented schedule.
 - ii. Non-payment at hydro pool cost against injection of inadvertent power.
 - iii. Non-payment by following wrong energy accounting procedure and the LoI issued by GRIDCO from time to time.
 - iv. Non-payment of Delayed Payment Surcharges (DPS).
 - v. Non-payment of slab rates fixed by the Commission.
2. In view of the violation of the Commission's order, the petitioner prayed the Commission to pass orders that the Commission may deem fit and proper and also direct GRIDCO Limited to complete the reconciliation statement at the earliest as per the Commission's earlier orders in this regard.
3. The respondent GRIDCO Ltd. submitted that in obedience to the Order of the Commission, it had prepared the reconciliation statement and communicated the same to the petitioner for acceptance. But the petitioner did not sign the reconciliation statement and raised objections to the process of reconciliation. The claim of the petitioner on non-compliance of the Commission's order by GRIDCO Ltd. is misconceived, misleading and not based on any fact and figures. The reconciliation statement prepared by GRIDCO Ltd. is based on the Orders passed by the Commission. Therefore, GRIDCO Ltd. prayed the Commission to dismiss the application filed by M/s. Jindal Stainless Ltd. and direct them to sign the reconciliation statement and settle the payable/receivables accordingly.
4. After hearing the parties and perusal of the case records, the Commission observed that all the disputed issues raised by the petitioner on reconciliation of accounts with regard to supply of surplus power from its CGP are similar to the issues raised by M/s. SMC Power Generation Limited in Case No. 26/2015(M/s. SMC Power Generation Limited Vrs. GRIDCO Limited) passed on 29.12.2015. The Commission has elaborately dealt all the issues in the said order and has passed appropriate directions. The observations and directions in the said order shall be applicable mutatis mutandis in the present case also.

The respondent GRIDCO Ltd. and the petitioner M/s. Jindal Stainless Ltd are directed to reconcile the power transaction account accordingly.

5. With the above directions the case is disposed of.

Sd/-

(A. K. Das)
Member

Sd/-

(S. P. Swain)
Member

Sd/-

(S. P. Nanda)
Chairperson