

ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Bidyut Niyamak Bhavan, Plot No.4, Chunokoli, Sailashree Vihar, Bhubaneswar- 751 021

TEL. No. 2721048/2721049, FAX : 2721053/2721057

e-mail : orierc@rediffmail.com, Website : orierc.org

Present : **Shri S. P. Nanda, Chairperson**
 Shri S. P. Swain, Member
 Shri A. K. Das, Member

Case No.90 of 2012

Sri. Kartik Chandra Dalai

.....Petitioner

Vrs.

SDO (Electrical), Panikoili, NESCO

.....Respondent

In the matter of: An application under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

ORDER

Date:27.07.2016

The petitioner-Shri Kartik Chandra Dalai, Sanasoti, Hatasahi, Jajpur has filed the above noted case u/S. 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of order dated 21.01.2010 in C.R.Case No. 24/2009, order dated 11.05.2011 in C.R.Case No. 22/2011, order dated 24.08.2012 in C.R.Case No. 44/2012 passed by the Ombudsman-II and also order dated 23.06.2012 of the Commission passed in Case No. 82/2011.

2. The case was heard by the Commission on 27.11.2012 and the Respondent is directed to restore the power supply to the premises of the petitioner on receipt of outstanding dues of Rs. 18, 000/- and file its reply complying with the order of the Ombudsman-II passed in C.R.Case No. 44/2012 serving copy on the petitioner.
3. The respondent has submitted its reply stating therein that as per order of the Commission the power supply to the premises of the petitioner was restored on 29.11.2012 after payment of the arrear dues amounting to Rs. 19, 600/- vide MIR No. 90384/576353 dated 30.11.2012 by the petitioner. As per the above orders passed by the Ombudsman-II, the bill of the petitioner was revised and withdrawn by the respondent.
4. As the both the petitioner and the respondent has not taken steps for disposal of the case pending with OERC, the Commission issued a letter on 02.05.2016 directing the parties herein for filing of the present status within 15 days from the date of issue of the notice through affidavit but till today neither of them have intimated to this office

regarding status of the case. Therefore, it appears that the petitioner has nothing to say in this matter as the said order of the Ombudsman-II passed in the above noted cases have already been implemented.

5. We opine that as both the petitioner and the respondent have not submitted the present status of the case as the consequences are immaterial to them now. Therefore, there is no need to keep the matter in abeyance with us and accordingly the case is dropped.

Sd/-
(A. K. Das)
Member

Sd/-
(S. P. Swain)
Member

Sd/-
(S. P. Nanda)
Chairperson