

**ODISHA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN, PLOT NO-4,  
CHUNAKOLI, SHAILASHREE VIHAR,  
BHUBANESWAR - 751 021**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Present :     Shri S. P. Nanda, Chairperson  
                 Shri S. P. Swain, Member  
                 Shri A. K. Das, Member**

**Case No. 65/2011**

M/s. Steel Authority of India Ltd.	.....	Petitioner
Vrs.		
WESCO & Ors.	.....	Respondents

**In the matter of:     Application under Section 55(3) of the Electricity Act, 2003.**

**ORDER**

**Date of Order: 28.07.2016**

The petitioner M/s. Steel Authority of India Limited, Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela, Sundargarh has filed the above noted case under Section 55 (3) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking direction of the Commission to the respondents to raise the monthly bills on the basis of the consumption recorded in the meter installed by the licensee-WESCO (now WESCO Utility after revocation of license) for billing as per the provision of the Central Electricity Authority (Installation and operation of Meter) Regulations, 2006.

2. The case was taken up for hearing on 06.09.2011 on question of admissibility of the matter. After hearing the parties, OPTCL was directed to convene a meeting at or near Tarkera Grid S/S with RSP, GRIDCO Ltd. and WESCO and report the same to this office as some of technical persons of OERC may attend the said meeting for facilitation of the conciliation process. The matter relates to billing dispute between the consumer M/s. RSP, Rourkela and the Distribution Utility-WESCO.
3. As the matter is pending with the Commission since 2011, letter was issued on 26.05.2016 to the parties herein for filing of the minutes of the meeting. We are concerned at the silence by OPTCL and non-implementation of order of the Commission. However, we also note the absence of the petitioner or any information from them on the issue during hearing. As no reply was received we feel that the consequence is immaterial to the petitioner and therefore there is no need to continue with this proceeding.
4. The case is therefore, dismissed. The Petitioner may approach the appropriate forum in this regard separately.

Sd/-  
**(A.K. Das)**  
**Member**

Sd/-  
**(S.P. Swain)**  
**Member**

Sd/-  
**(S.P.Nanda)**  
**Chairperson**

