ORISSA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
UNIT-VIII, BHUBANESWAR - 751 012
****

Present: Shri B.K. Das, Chairperson
Shri K.C. Badu, Member

Case No.71/2008

Bijay Senapati, Secretary, Biju Pani Panchayat …. **Petitioner**
Vrs.
EE (Elec.), BNED, SOUTHCO
SDO (Elec.), Buguda
CEO, SOUTHCO …. **Respondents**

In the matter of: An application U/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Date of Hearing: 25.02.2009 **Dated of Order: 25.02.2009**

O R D E R

Mr. B.K. Nayak, Advocate for the SOUTHCO, Mr. Bijaya Senapati, the petitioner and Mr. T. Siva Prasad, Executive Engineer, BNED, Bhanjanagar are present.

2. The counsel for SOUTHCO stated that in compliance of the directions of the Commission dated 16.02.2009, the J.E. and field staff visited the spot and had taken necessary steps like replacement of bare conductors. The Executive Engineer who is personally present in the hearing also admitted that the Asst. Manager (Electrical), MRT Division, Bhanjanagar with SDO (Elec.), Buguda with their subordinate staffs had also visited the spot and verified the voltage position and L.I. point meter was running properly with adequate power supply.

3. The petitioner who was also personally present in the hearing concurred with the statement of the Executive Engineer and also stated that at present his grievances have been redressed due to the steps taken by licensee's staff but since there is no sufficient water in the nallah at present it does not serve his purpose. When water

was available, the lift point was unable to work because of low voltage problem and consequently the members of the Pani Panchayat have incurred loss as crops could not be raised in time.

4. In its written submission the respondent-Executive Engineer has stated that a writ petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court against order of GRF, Berhampur dated 16.09.2008, on different grounds.

5. In the above circumstances, without considering other aspects we do not want to progress further in this matter and close this case.

6. However, the petitioner is given liberty to approach this Commission or any other competent authority for any deficiency of service by the respondent in future. The present case will be revived if the petitioner approaches this Commission on the ground of poor quality of supply in future.

7. Accordingly, the case is disposed of at present.

(K.C. Badu)
Member

(B.K. Das)
Chairperson