

ORISSA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
BIDYUT NIYAMAK BHAWAN
UNIT-VIII, BHUBANESWAR - 751 012

Present : Shri B.K. Das, Chairperson
Shri S.K. Jena, Member
Shri K.C. Badu, Member

Case No.51/2008

M/s Misrilall Mines Pvt. Ltd.
Vrs.
OPTCL

.... **Petitioner**

... **Respondents**

In the matter of: For appropriate directions to the Respondent, OPTCL, a STU and Transmission Licensee to permit energisation of the 132 KV line from the Old Duburi S/s to the premises of the Ferro Alloy Division of M/s Misrilall Mines Pvt. Ltd.

ORDER

29.08.2008 Mr. Ashok Inamdar, CCO, Mr. R.P. Mohapatra authorized representative of M/s Misrilall Mines Pvt. Ltd. and Mr. J.K. Mishra on behalf of OPTCL are present. The counter filed by OPTCL is taken into record.

2. Heard the parties at length.
3. Mr. Mohapatra the authorized representative of petitioner stated that he has filed this petition for appropriate directions to the respondent (OPTCL), STU and transmission licensee to permit energisation of the 132 KV line from the Old Duburi s/s to its premises. He also stated that the respondent, OPTCL has accorded technical sanction for power supply to its proposed Ferro chrome plant by 132 KV D/C line including utilization of a portion of discarded 220 KV Duburi – Paradeep D/C line and also one no. of bay 132 KV at Duburi s/s without cost of PLCC arrangement. The total cost of Rs.60 lakh will be borne by petitioner. He also stated that the respondent gave revised technical sanction by reducing the amount to be paid as Rs.3,23,71,184.00 only against the earlier

sanctioned estimated amounting to Rs.3,52,41,000.00. He further stated that he has received a letter on 09.07.2008 from the respondent regarding installation the PLCC and the petitioner has also deposited Rs.20,85,881.00 towards supervision charges and service tax on 21.07.2008.

4. The petitioner stated that he has placed the purchase order with M/s AREVA T&D (I) Ltd. for purchase of materials within 5 months from the date of drawing approval and he has also received the acceptance letter regarding this from the aforesaid manufacturer. He also prayed for exemption of installation of PLCC/SCADA under clause 1.8 of the Orissa Grid Code which stipulates as under:

“1.0 Exemptions: Any exemption from provisions of the OGC shall become effective only after approval of the Commission, for which the Agencies will have to file a petition in advance to this Commission.”

5. Further, Mr. Mohapatra stated that the respondent conducted system study (planning) for power supply to its unit and came to the conclusion that the drawl from the respondent shall not exceed 8 MW. He also stated that the unit of the petitioner is now ready to receive power supply. He also stated that based on the delivery schedule, the commissioning of the PLCC equipments will require at least 10 months from the date of LOI. In other words, the anticipated date of commissioning of PLCC equipments within the May, 2009.
6. Mr. J.K. Mishra stated that in response to the petitioner's letter No.1886 dated 09.07.2008 regarding the detail BOQ along with PLCC/SCADA configuration diagram and detail estimate were forwarded to the petitioner. The expeditious and conserted efforts made by the petitioner for purchase of PLCC/SCADA equipment as stated are a welcome move. He also requested the Commission to direct MMPL to procure the material strictly as per BOQ and recommendations made by the GM (Telecom).

7. He further stated that as the line length was less than 10 km., in the original estimate, the cost of PLCC/SCADA equipment was not included in the scope of the petitioner. Further during the year 2003-04, the OGC was not framed and notified for which provision for the said equipment was not taken into account. Now as it is mandatory for installation of PLCC/SCADA equipment within the period of six months from the date of energization.
8. Further, Shri Mishra stated the indoor installation may take time whereas, the outdoor installations will take much less time. He also stated that the supplier may supply the equipments in phase by phase. But, these components cannot be installed in piecemeal basis since the supplier may not pay visit no. of times. Therefore, the petitioner should be allowed time upto 30th of April, 2009 for completion of the installation whereas Mr. Mohapatra pleaded that it will not be possible to complete the same job by before 15th of May, 2009. However, time may be given upto 31st of May, 2009 for comfort.
9. Hearing the parties and perusal of the case records, the Commission allows the time upto 15th of May, 2009 for completion of the installations which, the Commission feels to be reasonable time for the same and directs OPTCL to permit energization of the 132 KV feeder and drawl of power by the petitioner pending installation of PLCC equipments. The petitioner is also directed to comply the Regulation 1.8 of the OGC within six months.
10. Accordingly the case is disposed of.

(K.C. Badu)
Member

(S.K. Jena)
Member

(B.K. Das)
Chairperson